Evidence Table

What does each piece of evidence from the data analysis tell us about each candidate cause (threat)? Evidence supports [+], evidence opposes [-], evidence is uncertain [0], no evidence [NE], evidence opt opp

Evidence Table				What does each piece of evidence from the data analysis tell us about each candidate cause (threat)? Evidence supports [+], evidence opposes [-], evidence is uncertain [0], no evidence [NE], evidence not applicable [NA].		
Candidate causes of WFD failures (threats to target biology)	RFF	Survey	RFF status (Whole WB)	Reach 1		
Agriculture				Source apportionment [+] SAGIS suggests "rural" inputs alone would lead to only borderline "Good (/Moderate)" WFD Status upper 3 reaches [Fig 3a SAGI that measured). Variation in space [+] 88% of the land use in this reach is agricultural (arable, horticulture & improved grassland). This is rank #1 out of the 6 reaches. Pollution incidents [-] No agricultural NIRS [7a. Fig Rch 1 NIRS 2001-14]. Other [0] 2012: "Runoff from the surrounding agricultural fields is likely to cause the excessive growth of rank vegetation on the banks." (and enhancement options, p10 - Callerton village (Section 1) - NGR: NZ176685 – NZ179690). [-] 07/03/12 and 03/05/2012 Source of Ouseburn rising in mixed improved and rough grazing with arable also in catchment has low		
				flows respectively. (EA Field Survey, location 1). [-] 15/11/2011 to 03/05/2012 Trib North of Stamfordham Road runs through mixed arable and pasture has variable moderate to lo is low (<0.5mg/l). (EA Field Survey, location 2). [Fig 5b. Rural Lab P v Flow Cht].		
Agricultural (diffuse pollution) [e.g. runoff from grassland, manure heaps, farmyards?]		У		[+] oPO4 at Callerton visually correlates with NH4-N suggesting a sewage or livestock / slurry source [Fig 4a]. (Note this sample poir		
Arable field (diffuse source) [incl. sediment (Current Situation doc & WB Action Plan]	У			[+] oPO4 to T.I.N ratios are much lower than for sewage (or slurry) and more consistent with a higher nitrate agricultural / fertiliser in the headwaters upstream of sewer networks).		
Sewage (in general) [phosphate highlighted]		У		 Variation in time [-] No sewage incidents were recorded between 2006 and 2014. [Fig 7b. Rch 1 Sewage NIRS91-4)vT] WQ correlations [+] WQ (oPO4) at Callerton suggests large non rural input - possible evidence for sewage inputs [Fig 3a. SAGIS mg I Chart (2)]. [+] oPO4 at Callerton visually correlates with NH4-N suggesting a sewage or livestock / slurry source [Fig 4c. Callerton PS oPO4 v N] [-] oPO4 to T.I.N ratios are much lower than for sewage (or slurry) and more consistent with a higher nitrate agricultural / fertiliser Variation in flow [+] Sewage incidents at moderate to higher flows and related to sewers. So sewer capacity problem? [Fig 7c. Rch 1 Sewage NIRS v F Pollution incidents [+] NIRS: Two sewage incidents (one cat'3, one cat'4), rank # 6 (the lowest) compared to other reaches[Fig 7a. Rch 1 NIRS 2001-14]. materials [Fig 7d. Reach Sewage Poll Type (No)]. [0] One additional incident for domestic & residential (unauthorised discharge of sewage materials, final effluent, 26 May 2005) in I could be in Reach 1, 2 or 3. 		
Sewage discharge (diffuse source) [e.g. sewer network & BOD mentioned in Current Situation doc]	У		Suspecte d	Pollution incidents [+] NIRS Premises: One incident relates to foul sewer at high flows [Fig 7c. Rch 1 Sewage NIRs v Flow] [+] NIRS Premises: One incident relates to other water industry premises at moderate flows [Fig 7c. Rch 1 Sewage NIRs v Flow]		
Sewage discharge (intermittent, point source) [sewage treatment works (STWs), storm discharges, CSOs & PSOs]	У	У	Suspecte d	Variation in space [-] No CSOs [-] No STWs [0] North Walbottle Pumping Station has an overflow (PSO) upstream of the Callerton sampling point. [8a. Consents Reach 1]		
Sewage misconnections [phosphate highlighted]		У		Variation in flow [-] WQ logger data at Callerton suggests occasional pulses of NH4-N unrelated to flow events. Evidence against misconnections [Fig Pollution incidents [-] No polluted SW outfalls recorded.		

GIS mg|I Chart]. (At Callerton, SAGIS rural inputs account for 30-50% of

' (In River Restoration report December 2014, Restoration, flood retention ow (<0.5mg/l) NH4-N at low flows and low (≤0.07 mg/l) lab PO4-P at mean low lab PO4-P (0.2 mg/l at low flows to 0.06 mg/l at higher flows). NH4-N

pint could include both agricultural and sewage sources).

ser signal [Fig 4e. U|s Wal to Cal (DPO4 v DTIN] (Note this sample point is

N]. er signal [Fig 4e. U|s Wal to Cal (D PO4 v D TIN]

Flow].

]. One is related to crude sewage and the other to other sewage

n EA "top reach" (source to A1 road bridge at grid ref NZ2264869933) so

Fig 4g WQ Logger NH4 Callerton only]

Candidate causes of WFD failures (threats to target biology)	RFF	Survey	RFF status (Whole WB)	Reach 1
Septic Tanks (non-mains				Source apportionment
sewerage)				[-] SAGIS shows inputs of oPO4 from septic tanks are insignificant. [Fig 3b. SAGIS kg yr Chart]. This is consistent with very few properties of the properti
Transport				
Transport network		у		
Road runoff – diffuse source	У	У	Confirme	
			d	
Urban areas				
Drainage (housing) – diffuse	У		Confirme	
source [BOD highlighted in			d	
Current Situation doc & WB				
Action Plan]				
Drainage (mixed, diffuse	у		Confirme	
source)			d	
Increase in impermeable		У		
areas from new housing				
New urban development		У		
[upper & middle reaches				
mentioned]				
Other				
Trading / Industrial Estates	у		Confirme	
– (diffuse source)			d	
Airport		у		[-] Not present
Golf course				[-] Not present

operties that are > 100 m from sewer network [Fig 1a. Base Map].	